

Ekeland

A beginner's point of view on some variational principles

Averil Prost

January 10, 2023
LMI/LMRS doctoral seminar

Table of Contents

History (1/2)

1974 Ivar Ekeland's *On the variational principle* [Eke74], metric, distances.

Theorem – Ekeland [Eke74] Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Let $f : X \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be proper, lsc and lower bounded.



Theorem – Ekeland [Eke74] Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Let $f : X \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be proper, lsc and lower bounded. Let $x \in \operatorname{dom}(f)$, $\delta > 0$.



Theorem – Ekeland [Eke74] Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Let $f : X \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be proper, lsc and lower bounded. Let $x \in \operatorname{dom}(f)$, $\delta > 0$. Then $\exists y \in X$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} f(y) \leqslant f(x) - \delta d(x, y), & \text{(1a)} \\ & \text{(1b)} \end{cases}$$



Theorem – Ekeland [Eke74] Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Let $f : X \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be proper, lsc and lower bounded. Let $x \in \mathrm{dom}\,(f)$, $\delta > 0$. Then $\exists y \in X$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} f(y) \leqslant f(x) - \delta d(x, y), & (1a) \\ f(y) - \delta d(z, y) < f(z) \quad \forall z \in X \setminus \{y\}. & (1b) \end{cases}$$



Theorem – Ekeland [Eke74] Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Let $f : X \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be proper, lsc and lower bounded. Let $x \in \operatorname{dom}(f)$, $\delta > 0$. Then $\exists y \in X$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} f(y) \leqslant f(x) - \delta d(x, y), & \quad (1a) \\ f(y) - \delta d(z, y) < f(z) \qquad \forall z \in X \setminus \{y\}. & \quad (1b) \end{cases}$$



No min when it exists

Theorem – Ekeland [Eke74] Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Let $f : X \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be proper, lsc and lower bounded. Let $x \in \operatorname{dom}(f)$, $\delta > 0$. Then $\exists y \in X$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} f(y) \leqslant f(x) - \delta d(x, y), & \text{(1a)} \\ f(y) - \delta d(z, y) < f(z) \quad \forall z \in X \setminus \{y\}. & \text{(1b)} \end{cases}$$



- No min when it exists
- δ is arbitrary

Theorem – Ekeland [Eke74] Let (X,d) be a complete metric space. Let $f : X \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be proper, lsc and lower bounded. Let $x \in \operatorname{dom}(f)$, $\delta > 0$. Then $\exists y \in X$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} f(y) \leqslant f(x) - \delta d(x,y), & (1a) \\ f(y) - \delta d(z,y) < f(z) \quad \forall z \in X \setminus \{y\}. & (1b) \end{cases}$$



- No min when it exists
- δ is arbitrary
- y stays in X

Theorem – Ekeland [Eke74] Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Let $f : X \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be proper, lsc and lower bounded. Let $x \in \operatorname{dom}(f)$, $\delta > 0$. Then $\exists y \in X$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} f(y) \leqslant f(x) - \delta d(x, y), & \text{(1a)} \\ f(y) - \delta d(z, y) < f(z) \quad \forall z \in X \setminus \{y\}. & \text{(1b)} \end{cases}$$



- No min when it exists
- δ is arbitrary
- y stays in X
- $\sim$ no $+\infty$ behavior

Theorem – Ekeland [Eke74] Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. Let $f : X \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be proper, lsc and lower bounded. Let $x \in \operatorname{dom}(f)$, $\delta > 0$. Then $\exists y \in X$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} f(y) \leqslant f(x) - \delta d(x, y), & \text{(1a)} \\ f(y) - \delta d(z, y) < f(z) \qquad \forall z \in X \setminus \{y\}. & \text{(1b)} \end{cases}$$



- No min when it exists
- δ is arbitrary
- y stays in X
- $\sim$ no $+\infty$ behavior
- No (local) compactness

The proof (1/3)

Let $S_0 :=$ dom f, and $\varepsilon > 0$.



The proof (1/3)

Let $S_0 := \text{dom } f$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Pick $x_0 \in S_0$ such that $f(x_0) \leqslant \inf_X f + \varepsilon$.



The proof (1/3)

Let $S_0 := \operatorname{dom} f$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Pick $x_0 \in S_0$ such that $f(x_0) \leqslant \inf_X f + \varepsilon$. Build $(x_i, S_i)_i$ as



$$S_i := \{x \in X \mid f(x) \leqslant f(x_{i-1}) - \delta d(x, x_{i-1})\},$$

The proof (1/3)

Let $S_0 := \text{dom } f$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Pick $x_0 \in S_0$ such that $f(x_0) \leqslant \inf_X f + \varepsilon$. Build $(x_i, S_i)_i$ as



$$S_i := \{x \in X \mid f(x) \leqslant f(x_{i-1}) - \delta d(x, x_{i-1})\},$$

$$\delta d(x, x_{i-1}) \leqslant f(x_{i-1}) - f(x)$$

The proof (1/3)

Let $S_0 := \operatorname{dom} f$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Pick $x_0 \in S_0$ such that $f(x_0) \leqslant \inf_X f + \varepsilon$. Build $(x_i, S_i)_i$ as



$$S_i := \{x \in X \mid f(x) \leqslant f(x_{i-1}) - \delta d(x, x_{i-1})\},$$

$$\delta d(x, x_{i-1}) \leqslant f(x_{i-1}) - f(x)$$

and pick $x_i \in S_i$ such that

$$f(x_i) \leqslant \frac{f(x_{i-1}) + \inf_{y \in S_i} f(y)}{2}.$$

The proof (1/3)

Let $S_0 := \operatorname{dom} f$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Pick $x_0 \in S_0$ such that $f(x_0) \leqslant \inf_X f + \varepsilon$. Build $(x_i, S_i)_i$ as



$$S_i := \{x \in X \mid f(x) \leqslant f(x_{i-1}) - \delta d(x, x_{i-1})\},$$

$$\delta d(x, x_{i-1}) \leqslant f(x_{i-1}) - f(x)$$

and pick $x_i \in S_i$ such that

$$f(x_i) \leqslant \frac{f(x_{i-1}) + \inf_{y \in S_i} f(y)}{2}.$$

The proof (1/3)

Let $S_0 :=$ dom f, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Pick $x_0 \in S_0$ such that $f(x_0) \leqslant \inf_X f + \varepsilon$. Build $(x_i, S_i)_i$ as



$$S_i := \{x \in X \mid f(x) \leqslant f(x_{i-1}) - \delta d(x, x_{i-1})\},$$

$$\delta d(x, x_{i-1}) \leqslant f(x_{i-1}) - f(x)$$

and pick $x_i \in S_i$ such that

$$f(x_i) \leqslant \frac{f(x_{i-1}) + \inf_{y \in S_i} f(y)}{2}.$$

The proof (1/3)

Let $S_0 := \operatorname{dom} f$, and $\varepsilon > 0$. Pick $x_0 \in S_0$ such that $f(x_0) \leqslant \inf_X f + \varepsilon$. Build $(x_i, S_i)_i$ as



$$S_i := \{x \in X \mid f(x) \leqslant f(x_{i-1}) - \delta d(x, x_{i-1})\},$$

$$\delta d(x, x_{i-1}) \leqslant f(x_{i-1}) - f(x)$$

and pick $x_i \in S_i$ such that

$$f(x_i) \leqslant \frac{f(x_{i-1}) + \inf_{y \in S_i} f(y)}{2}.$$

S_i nonempty and closed.
Let us show that $S_{i+1} \subset S_i$, and $\operatorname{diam} S_i \xrightarrow[i\to\infty]{} 0$.

The proof (2/3)

Let $x \in S_{i+1}$:

$$f(x) \underset{x \in S_{i+1}}{\leqslant} f(x_i) - \delta d(x, x_i)$$

The proof (2/3)

Let $x \in S_{i+1}$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) &\underset{x \in S_{i+1}}{\leqslant} f(x_i) - \delta d(x, x_i) \\
&\underset{x_i \in S_i}{\leqslant} f(x_{i-1}) - \delta \left[d(x_i, x_{i-1}) + d(x, x_i) \right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof (2/3)

Let $x \in S_{i+1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\underset{x \in S_{i+1}}{\leqslant} f(x_i) - \delta d(x, x_i) \\ &\underset{x_i \in S_i}{\leqslant} f(x_{i-1}) - \delta \left[d(x_i, x_{i-1}) + d(x, x_i) \right] \\ &\underset{\triangle \text{ ineq}}{\leqslant} f(x_{i-1}) - \delta d(x, x_{i-1}), \end{aligned}$$

The proof (2/3)

Let $x \in S_{i+1}$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) &\underset{x \in S_{i+1}}{\leqslant} f(x_i) - \delta d(x, x_i) \\
&\underset{x_i \in S_i}{\leqslant} f(x_{i-1}) - \delta \left[d(x_i, x_{i-1}) + d(x, x_i) \right] \\
&\underset{\triangle \text{ ineq}}{\leqslant} f(x_{i-1}) - \delta d(x, x_{i-1}),
\end{aligned}
$$

and $x \in S_i$, so that $S_{i+1} \subset S_i$.

The proof (2/3)

Let $x \in S_{i+1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\underset{x \in S_{i+1}}{\leqslant} f(x_i) - \delta d(x, x_i) \\ &\underset{x_i \in S_i}{\leqslant} f(x_{i-1}) - \delta\left[d(x_i, x_{i-1}) + d(x, x_i)\right] \\ &\underset{\triangle \text{ ineq}}{\leqslant} f(x_{i-1}) - \delta d(x, x_{i-1}), \end{aligned}$$

and $x \in S_i$, so that $S_{i+1} \subset S_i$.

On the other hand, since $\inf_{S_{i+1}} f \geqslant \inf_{S_i} f$,

$$f(x_i) - \inf_{S_{i+1}} f \leqslant [f(x_{i-1}) + \inf_{S_i} f - 2 \inf_{S_{i+1}} f]/2$$

The proof (2/3)

Let $x \in S_{i+1}$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) &\underset{x \in S_{i+1}}{\leqslant} f(x_i) - \delta d(x, x_i) \\
&\underset{x_i \in S_i}{\leqslant} f(x_{i-1}) - \delta \left[d(x_i, x_{i-1}) + d(x, x_i) \right] \\
&\underset{\triangle\ \text{ineq}}{\leqslant} f(x_{i-1}) - \delta d(x, x_{i-1}),
\end{aligned}
$$

and $x \in S_i$, so that $S_{i+1} \subset S_i$.

On the other hand, since $\inf_{S_{i+1}} f \geqslant \inf_{S_i} f$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x_i) - \inf_{S_{i+1}} f &\leqslant [f(x_{i-1}) + \inf_{S_i} f - 2 \inf_{S_{i+1}} f]/2 \\
&\leqslant [f(x_{i-1}) - \inf_{S_i} f]/2 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof (2/3)

Let $x \in S_{i+1}$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) &\underset{x \in S_{i+1}}{\leqslant} f(x_i) - \delta d(x, x_i) \\
&\underset{x_i \in S_i}{\leqslant} f(x_{i-1}) - \delta \left[d(x_i, x_{i-1}) + d(x, x_i)\right] \\
&\underset{\triangle \text{ ineq}}{\leqslant} f(x_{i-1}) - \delta d(x, x_{i-1}),
\end{aligned}
$$

and $x \in S_i$, so that $S_{i+1} \subset S_i$.

On the other hand, since $\inf_{S_{i+1}} f \geqslant \inf_{S_i} f$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x_i) - \inf_{S_{i+1}} f &\leqslant [f(x_{i-1}) + \inf_{S_i} f - 2 \inf_{S_{i+1}} f]/2 \\
&\leqslant [f(x_{i-1}) - \inf_{S_i} f]/2 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i}.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\delta d(x, x_i) \leqslant f(x_i) - f(x) \leqslant f(x_i) - \inf_{S_{i+1}} f \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i}.
$$

The proof (2/3)

Let $x \in S_{i+1}$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) &\underset{x \in S_{i+1}}{\leqslant} f(x_i) - \delta d(x, x_i) \\
&\underset{x_i \in S_i}{\leqslant} f(x_{i-1}) - \delta\left[d(x_i, x_{i-1}) + d(x, x_i)\right] \\
&\underset{\triangle \text{ ineq}}{\leqslant} f(x_{i-1}) - \delta d(x, x_{i-1}),
\end{aligned}
$$

and $x \in S_i$, so that $S_{i+1} \subset S_i$.

On the other hand, since $\inf_{S_{i+1}} f \geqslant \inf_{S_i} f$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x_i) - \inf_{S_{i+1}} f &\leqslant [f(x_{i-1}) + \inf_{S_i} f - 2 \inf_{S_{i+1}} f]/2 \\
&\leqslant [f(x_{i-1}) - \inf_{S_i} f]/2 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i}.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\delta d(x, x_i) \leqslant f(x_i) - f(x) \leqslant f(x_i) - \inf_{S_{i+1}} f \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i}.
$$

so that $d(x, x_{i-1}) \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta 2^i}$, and $\operatorname{diam} S_i \underset{i \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$.

The proof (2/3)

Let $x \in S_{i+1}$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) &\underset{x\in S_{i+1}}{\leqslant} f(x_i) - \delta d(x, x_i) \\
&\underset{x_i\in S_i}{\leqslant} f(x_{i-1}) - \delta\left[d(x_i, x_{i-1}) + d(x, x_i)\right] \\
&\underset{\triangle \text{ ineq}}{\leqslant} f(x_{i-1}) - \delta d(x, x_{i-1}),
\end{aligned}
$$

and $x \in S_i$, so that $S_{i+1} \subset S_i$.

On the other hand, since $\inf_{S_{i+1}} f \geqslant \inf_{S_i} f$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x_i) - \inf_{S_{i+1}} f &\leqslant [f(x_{i-1}) + \inf_{S_i} f - 2\inf_{S_{i+1}} f]/2 \\
&\leqslant [f(x_{i-1}) - \inf_{S_i} f]/2 \leqslant \cdots \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i}.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\delta d(x, x_i) \leqslant f(x_i) - f(x) \leqslant f(x_i) - \inf_{S_{i+1}} f \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{2^i}.
$$

so that $d(x, x_{i-1}) \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta 2^i}$, and diam $S_i \underset{i\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0$.

Def Since X is closed, by Cantor's intersection theorem, there exists an unique $y \in \bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} S_i$.

The proof (3/3)



- Since $y \in S_1$, $f(y) \leqslant f(x_0) - \delta d(y, x_0)$, hence (1a).

The proof (3/3)



- Since $y \in S_1$, $f(y) \leqslant f(x_0) - \delta d(y, x_0)$, hence (1a).
- Let $x \neq y$, and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $x \notin S_{i+1}$.

The proof (3/3)



- Since $y \in S_1$, $f(y) \leqslant f(x_0) - \delta d(y, x_0)$, hence (1a).
- Let $x \neq y$, and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $x \notin S_{i+1}$.

$$f(x) \underset{x \notin S_{i+1}}{>} f(x_i) - \delta d(x, x_i)$$

The proof (3/3)



- Since $y \in S_1$, $f(y) \leqslant f(x_0) - \delta d(y, x_0)$, hence (1a).
- Let $x \neq y$, and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $x \notin S_{i+1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\underset{x \notin S_{i+1}}{>} f(x_i) - \delta d(x, x_i) \\ &\underset{y \in S_{i+1}}{\geqslant} f(y) + \delta d(y, x_i) - \delta d(x, x_i), \end{aligned}$$

The proof (3/3)



- Since $y \in S_1$, $f(y) \leqslant f(x_0) - \delta d(y, x_0)$, hence (1a).
- Let $x \neq y$, and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $x \notin S_{i+1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) &\underset{x \notin S_{i+1}}{>} f(x_i) - \delta d(x, x_i) \\
&\underset{y \in S_{i+1}}{\geqslant} f(y) + \delta d(y, x_i) - \delta d(x, x_i), \\
&\underset{\triangle\text{ ineq.}}{\geqslant} f(y) - \delta d(y, x),
\end{aligned}
$$

hence (1b). $\square$

Table of Contents

History (2/2)

1974 Ivar Ekeland's *On the variational principle* [Eke74], metric, distances.

History (2/2)

1974 Ivar Ekeland's *On the variational principle* [Eke74], metric, distances.

1976 Ekeland and Lebourg [EL76], Banach, linear functions.

History (2/2)

- **1974** Ivar Ekeland's *On the variational principle* [Eke74], metric, distances.
- **1976** Ekeland and Lebourg [EL76], Banach, linear functions.
- **1984** [pub] Aubin and Ekeland *Applied Nonlinear Analysis* [AE84], excellent.

History (2/2)

- **1974** Ivar Ekeland's *On the variational principle* [Eke74], metric, distances.
- **1976** Ekeland and Lebourg [EL76], Banach, linear functions.
- **1984** [pub] Aubin and Ekeland *Applied Nonlinear Analysis* [AE84], excellent.
- **1987** Borwein-Preiss *A smooth variational principle [...]* [BP87], Banach, "gauge-type".

History (2/2)

1974 Ivar Ekeland's *On the variational principle* [Eke74], metric, distances.

1976 Ekeland and Lebourg [EL76], Banach, linear functions.

1984 [pub] Aubin and Ekeland *Applied Nonlinear Analysis* [AE84], excellent.

1987 Borwein-Preiss *A smooth variational principle [...]* [BP87], Banach, "gauge-type".

1993 Deville, Godefroy, Zizler *A smooth variational principle [...]* [DGZ93], Banach, bumps.

History (2/2)

- **1974** Ivar Ekeland's *On the variational principle* [Eke74], metric, distances.
- **1976** Ekeland and Lebourg [EL76], Banach, linear functions.
- **1984** [pub] Aubin and Ekeland *Applied Nonlinear Analysis* [AE84], excellent.
- **1987** Borwein-Preiss *A smooth variational principle [...]* [BP87], Banach, "gauge-type".
- **1993** Deville, Godefroy, Zizler *A smooth variational principle [...]* [DGZ93], Banach, bumps.
- **2005** Borwein and Zhu *Techniques of Variational Analysis* [BZ05], metric, "gauge-type".

The Hilbertian Ekeland principle

Theorem – Ekeland-Lebourg [EL76] Let a closed bounded $D \subset H$ real Hilbert, and $f : D \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be proper, lsc and lower bounded.



The Hilbertian Ekeland principle

Theorem – Ekeland-Lebourg [EL76] Let a closed bounded $D \subset H$ real Hilbert, and $f : D \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be proper, lsc and lower bounded. $\forall\, \delta > 0$, $\exists\, \overline{x} \in D$ and $p \in H'$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} |p|_{H'} < \delta, & (2a) \\ x \to f(x) + \langle p, x \rangle_{H',H} \text{ admits a strict minimum over } D \text{ in } \overline{x}. & (2b) \end{cases}$$



The Hilbertian Ekeland principle

Theorem – Ekeland-Lebourg [EL76] Let a closed bounded $D \subset H$ real Hilbert, and $f : D \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be proper, lsc and lower bounded. $\forall\, \delta > 0$, $\exists\, \overline{x} \in D$ and $p \in H'$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} |p|_{H'} < \delta, & \text{(2a)} \\ x \to f(x) + \langle p, x\rangle_{H',H} \text{ admits a strict minimum over } D \text{ in } \overline{x}. & \text{(2b)} \end{cases}$$



- Boundedness of D really essential ($f \equiv c$)

The Hilbertian Ekeland principle

Theorem – Ekeland-Lebourg [EL76] Let a closed bounded $D \subset H$ real Hilbert, and $f : D \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be proper, lsc and lower bounded. $\forall\, \delta > 0$, $\exists\, \overline{x} \in D$ and $p \in H'$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} |p|_{H'} < \delta, & \text{(2a)} \\ x \to f(x) + \langle p, x \rangle_{H',H} \text{ admits a strict minimum over } D \text{ in } \overline{x}. & \text{(2b)} \end{cases}$$



- Boundedness of D really essential ($f \equiv c$)
- Very nice perturbation

The Hilbertian Ekeland principle

Theorem – Ekeland-Lebourg [EL76] Let a closed bounded $D \subset H$ real Hilbert, and $f : D \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be proper, lsc and lower bounded. $\forall\, \delta > 0$, $\exists\, \overline{x} \in D$ and $p \in H'$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} |p|_{H'} < \delta, & \text{(2a)} \\ x \to f(x) + \langle p, x\rangle_{H',H} \text{ admits a strict minimum over } D \text{ in } \overline{x}. & \text{(2b)} \end{cases}$$



- 👎 Boundedness of D really essential ($f \equiv c$)
- 👍 Very nice perturbation

The proof is quite different.

The smooth Ekeland principle

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space.

Def – gauge-type functions Any lower semicontinuous $\rho : X \times X \mapsto [0, \infty]$ satisfying $\rho(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$, and $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \eta > 0$ such that $\rho(x, y) \leqslant \eta$ implies $d(x, y) \leqslant \varepsilon$.

The smooth Ekeland principle

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space.

Def – gauge-type functions Any lower semicontinuous $\rho : X \times X \mapsto [0, \infty]$ satisfying $\rho(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$, and $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \eta > 0$ such that $\rho(x, y) \leqslant \eta$ implies $d(x, y) \leqslant \varepsilon$.

Theorem – Borwein-Preiss [BP87] Let $f : X \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be proper, lsc and lower bounded. Let ρ be gauge-type, $(\delta_i)_i \subset \mathbb{R}_*^+$, and $x_0 \in X$ such that $f(x_0) \leqslant \inf_X f + \varepsilon$.

The smooth Ekeland principle

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space.

Def – gauge-type functions Any lower semicontinuous $\rho : X \times X \mapsto [0, \infty]$ satisfying $\rho(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$, and $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \eta > 0$ such that $\rho(x, y) \leqslant \eta$ implies $d(x, y) \leqslant \varepsilon$.

Theorem – Borwein-Preiss [BP87] Let $f : X \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be proper, lsc and lower bounded. Let ρ be gauge-type, $(\delta_i)_i \subset \mathbb{R}_*^+$, and $x_0 \in X$ such that $f(x_0) \leqslant \inf_X f + \varepsilon$. Then there exist $y \in X$ and a sequence $(x_i)_{i=0}^{\infty} \subset X$ such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \rho(x_0, y) \leqslant \varepsilon/\delta_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(x_i, y) \leqslant \varepsilon/(2^i \delta_0) & \text{(3a)} \\ & \text{(3b)} \\ & \text{(3c)} \end{array} \right.$$

The smooth Ekeland principle

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space.

Def – gauge-type functions Any lower semicontinuous $\rho : X \times X \mapsto [0, \infty]$ satisfying $\rho(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$, and $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \eta > 0$ such that $\rho(x, y) \leqslant \eta$ implies $d(x, y) \leqslant \varepsilon$.

Theorem – Borwein-Preiss [BP87] Let $f : X \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be proper, lsc and lower bounded. Let ρ be gauge-type, $(\delta_i)_i \subset \mathbb{R}_*^+$, and $x_0 \in X$ such that $f(x_0) \leqslant \inf_X f + \varepsilon$. Then there exist $y \in X$ and a sequence $(x_i)_{i=0}^{\infty} \subset X$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \rho(x_0, y) \leqslant \varepsilon/\delta_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(x_i, y) \leqslant \varepsilon/(2^i \delta_0) & \text{(3a)} \\ f(y) + \Sigma_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta_i \rho(y, x_i) \leqslant f(x_0) & \text{(3b)} \\ & \text{(3c)} \end{cases}$$

The smooth Ekeland principle

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space.

Def – gauge-type functions Any lower semicontinuous $\rho : X \times X \mapsto [0, \infty]$ satisfying $\rho(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$, and $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \eta > 0$ such that $\rho(x, y) \leqslant \eta$ implies $d(x, y) \leqslant \varepsilon$.

Theorem – Borwein-Preiss [BP87] Let $f : X \mapsto \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ be proper, lsc and lower bounded. Let ρ be gauge-type, $(\delta_i)_i \subset \mathbb{R}_*^+$, and $x_0 \in X$ such that $f(x_0) \leqslant \inf_X f + \varepsilon$. Then there exist $y \in X$ and a sequence $(x_i)_{i=0}^{\infty} \subset X$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \rho(x_0, y) \leqslant \varepsilon/\delta_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(x_i, y) \leqslant \varepsilon/(2^i \delta_0) & \text{(3a)} \\ f(y) + \Sigma_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta_i \rho(y, x_i) \leqslant f(x_0) & \text{(3b)} \\ f(x) + \Sigma_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta_i \rho(x, x_i) > f(y) + \Sigma_{i=0}^{\infty} \delta_i \rho(y, x_i) \qquad \forall x \in X \setminus \{y\}. & \text{(3c)} \end{cases}$$

Illustration of Borwein-Preiss



Figure: Iterative construction with $f(x) = (1 + |x|)^{-1}$, $\delta_i = 0.01/(1 + i)^2$, $\rho(x, y) = |x - y|^2$.

Illustration of Borwein-Preiss



Figure: Iterative construction with $f(x) = (1 + |x|)^{-1}$, $\delta_i = 0.01/(1+i)^2$, $\rho(x, y) = |x - y|^2$.

Illustration of Borwein-Preiss



Figure: Iterative construction with $f(x) = (1 + |x|)^{-1}$, $\delta_i = 0.01/(1 + i)^2$, $\rho(x, y) = |x - y|^2$.

Table of Contents

Viscosity (I'm sure you missed it)

The Wasserstein context

- Space of measures μ with finite *second moment* $\int_{x\in E} |x|^2 \, d\mu(x)$.

Viscosity (I'm sure you missed it)

The Wasserstein context

- Space of measures μ with finite *second moment* $\int_{x\in E} |x|^2 \, d\mu(x)$.
- Narrow topology: duality with $\mathcal{C}_b(E)$.

Viscosity (I'm sure you missed it)

The Wasserstein context

- Space of measures μ with finite *second moment* $\int_{x \in E} |x|^2 \, d\mu(x)$.
- Narrow topology: duality with $\mathcal{C}_b(E)$.
- Wasserstein topology: duality with $\mathcal{C}_2(E)$.

Viscosity (I'm sure you missed it)

The Wasserstein context

- Space of measures μ with finite *second moment* $\int_{x \in E} |x|^2 \, d\mu(x)$.
- Narrow topology: duality with $\mathcal{C}_b(E)$.
- Wasserstein topology: duality with $\mathcal{C}_2(E)$.

The problem

- Aim: minimize a (coercive, lsc, proper) function in the Wasserstein space.

Viscosity (I'm sure you missed it)

The Wasserstein context

- Space of measures μ with finite *second moment* $\int_{x\in E} |x|^2 \, d\mu(x)$.
- Narrow topology: duality with $\mathcal{C}_b(E)$.
- Wasserstein topology: duality with $\mathcal{C}_2(E)$.

The problem

- Aim: minimize a (coercive, lsc, proper) function in the Wasserstein space.
- Bounded sets for the Wasserstein distance are narrowly compact, but not compact in the Wasserstein topology.

Viscosity (I'm sure you missed it)

The Wasserstein context

- Space of measures μ with finite *second moment* $\int_{x \in E} |x|^2 \, d\mu(x)$.
- Narrow topology: duality with $\mathcal{C}_b(E)$.
- Wasserstein topology: duality with $\mathcal{C}_2(E)$.

The problem

- Aim: minimize a (coercive, lsc, proper) function in the Wasserstein space.
- Bounded sets for the Wasserstein distance are narrowly compact, but not compact in the Wasserstein topology.

A solution by Marigonda & Quincampoix [MQ18]

- Use Ekeland to obtain $\delta-$minimizers that are exact strict minima of perturbed functions.

Viscosity (I'm sure you missed it)

The Wasserstein context

- Space of measures μ with finite *second moment* $\int_{x\in E} |x|^2 \, d\mu(x)$.
- Narrow topology: duality with $\mathcal{C}_b(E)$.
- Wasserstein topology: duality with $\mathcal{C}_2(E)$.

The problem

- Aim: minimize a (coercive, lsc, proper) function in the Wasserstein space.
- Bounded sets for the Wasserstein distance are narrowly compact, but not compact in the Wasserstein topology.

A solution by Marigonda & Quincampoix [MQ18]

- Use Ekeland to obtain $\delta-$minimizers that are exact strict minima of perturbed functions.
- What with Borwein & Preiss?

Thank you!

[AE84] Jean Pierre Aubin and I. Ekeland.
Applied Nonlinear Analysis.
Pure and Applied Mathematics. Wiley, New York, 1984.

[BP87] J. M. Borwein and D. Preiss.
A smooth variational principle with applications to subdifferentiability and to differentiability of convex functions.
Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 303(2):517–527, 1987.

[BZ05] Jonathan M. Borwein and Qiji J. Zhu.
Techniques of Variational Analysis.
CMS Books in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.

[DGZ93] R. Deville, G. Godefroy, and V. Zizler.
A Smooth Variational Principle with Applications to Hamilton-Jacobi Equations in Infinite Dimensions.
Journal of Functional Analysis, 111(1):197–212, January 1993.

[Eke74] I. Ekeland.
On the variational principle.
Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 47(2):324–353, August 1974.

[EL76] Ivar Ekeland and Gérard Lebourg.
Generic Fréchet-differentiability and perturbed optimization problems in Banach spaces.
Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 224(2):193–216, 1976.

[MQ18] Antonio Marigonda and Marc Quincampoix.
Mayer control problem with probabilistic uncertainty on initial positions.
Journal of Differential Equations, 264(5):3212–3252, March 2018.